

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/238,405	05/05/1994	DANIEL J. CAPON	CELL5.3	5729
23820 7	7590 01/18/2002		·	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, LLP 1300 19TH STREET, NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			· EXAMINER	
			HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON	
			ADTIBUT	D. DED MIN (DED
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	111
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002	$\varphi_0$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No.

08/238,405

Applicant(s)

Capon et al

Advisory Action

Examiner

Art Unit Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. THE REPLY FILED Jan 2, 2002 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_Dec 26, 2001 \_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. 🗆 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 3. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (b) X they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); (c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Limitations that remove the limitation of "in the absence of a T-cell receptor" would require re-instatement of the 102(b) rejections by Gross et al., and by Kuwana et al. Broader scope now also recited that raises The Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. 🗆 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. X The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) It request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments have already been considered in previous Office actions, or are directed to claim amendments not entered; thereby, being moot. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: 59 Claim(s) rejected: 57, 64, 65, 67, and 69 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_ a)  $\square$  has b)  $\square$  has not been approved by the Examiner. 10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 11. Other: The IDS has not been considered because the conditions stated in MPEP 609B(3) have not been met.

TERMOLOGY CENTER 1600